

IPR: SPS DCMC Deployment/MOCAS Retirement

February 4, 1999

SPS DCMC Deployment/MOCAS Retirement - briefed by Andy Blaher (MOCAS Retirement), Dave Guinasso (DCMC SPS Functional Sponsor) and John Raymond (DCMC SPS Project Officer) to COL Olear.

Others present: Jeff Webb (Infrastructure/Architecture Team Chief), Tory Baggiano (Robbins-Gioia, Schedule Analyst), Donna McKalip (Acquisition Team Chief), Tom Hartney (Robbins-Gioia, Configuration Analyst), and Chris Bertelli (Financial Analyst)

IPR Meeting Minutes (taskings in blue):

- Per COL Olear, this is the second IPR in bi-weekly briefing cycle that will continue until a detailed Project Plan is developed for MOCAS Retirement as the SPS and MOCAS systems planning/funding depend upon each other.

MOCAS

Schedule

- Per Andy, the MOCAS Retirement Schedule is in the initial stage of issue definition.
- The MOCAS Retirement team has identified the DPPS and DFAS MOCAS Points of Contact.
- Per Dave, DSDC estimated a period of performance of 18 months to separate the DFAS financial portion of the MOCAS system.
- The financial portion will interface with MOCAS.
- Per Andy, if DFAS stops using MOCAS, DCMC should do the same.
- Per Dave, MOCAS Retirement should be an integrated effort between DFAS and DCMC.
- **Major Breakthrough:** The development of a MOCAS vs. SPS vs. Alternative Functionality Matrix by Dave Guinasso. "Notional," said Dave. The Migration of MOCAS Functionality is **Attached**.
- Per COL Olear, it is imperative to the success of DCMC to continue to develop a complete mapping of Systems, w/ corresponding functionality and data in order to develop detailed Systems Retirement and Acquisition schedules.
- COL Olear tasked Dave and pledged full AB support (OCWG and ITLCMG) to develop a Standard DCMC System Retirement Schedule Template that will define the critical tasks involved in system retirement .
- The development of:
 - 1) a DCMC Systems Matrix (a mapping of systems, function and data) and
 - 2) a DCMC Integrated Systems Retirement Schedulewill greatly increase the accuracy of projecting out-year DCMC POM requirements.

Cost

- MOCAS maintenance is not included in POM after FY00, out-year MOCAS funding still an issue.

SPS DCMC Deployment

Cost

- Per COL Olear, there is a question of maintenance/funding responsibility for SPS and SDW.
- It is believed AMS is responsible for SPS, and DSDC is responsible for SDW; therefore, there is a funding issue (where funding will come from and how much \$ required) in the out-year POM as currently there is little DCMC money to support the two systems.

- Per Chris, it is possible Y2K money will be received by DCMC.
- Per COL Olear, the Y2K money may have stipulations attached and may or may not be used for SPS/SDW/MOCAS funding.
- SPS DCMC funding was cut from 464k to 200k for FY99.
- Per Dave, the funding should be enough based on current SPS 5.0 delivery timeframe projection.
- Per COL Olear, it is vital to develop issue papers to justify additional valid funding requirements as soon as possible if there is any chance to receive funding.

Operational

- Per Dave, the Phoenix Functional Test, previously scheduled to begin next week, has been postponed for two additional weeks after coordination with COL Thomas, the DCMC Phoenix Commander.
- The Oracle issue detailed in the previous SPS DCMC IPR minutes has been resolved and will be tested in the FT.
- The SPS DCMC FT will use a non-production server to minimize the risk to DCMC Phoenix workflow.
- Per COL Olear and Jeff, DCMC needs to develop a "Prototype Protocol" in order to standardize the FT requirements for DCMC Phoenix.
- Per COL Olear, the TEMP should contain test scripts that clearly isolate root cause problems in order to eliminate multiple possible causes for a problem report.
- Per John and Dave, the FT will be based on Workflow complexity (30 users performing the most complex transactions, specifically CLIN generation), not Workforce volume.
- COL Olear tasked the SPS DCMC IPT to brief Maj Gen Malishenko on the FT results.